

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO:	CONFIRMATION NO
09/844,843	04/27/2001	Claudiu D. Pruteanu	20010142.ORI	2768
23595 7590 03/07/2007 NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.			EXAMINER	
900 SECOND	AVENUE SOUTH		KEENAN,	JAMES W
SUITE 820 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/844,843	PRUTEANU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Keenan	3652
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 ft 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) Claim(s) 51-53,55-57,65 and 66 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 51-53,55-57,65 and 66 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and accompany are subjected to by the Examin	ed. for election requirement. for election requirement.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 09/844,843

Art Unit: 3652

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/20/07 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 51-53, 55-57, and 65-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 65 contains the limitation that the shape of the arm member is curved "such that a container does not exceed the height of the truck body during a lift and dump operation". There is no basis for this in the disclosure as originally filed. Although applicant alleges that figures 1 and 2 show the low profile of the lift and dump mechanism when lifted, those figures do not even show the arm carrying a container, much less doing so during a lift and dump operation. While the specification admittedly does provide that the shape of the arm member reduces the height to which a container is lifted, this does not necessarily

Art Unit: 3652

mean that it would do so to the extent now set forth in claim 65, nor do the drawings portray the arm member as possessing such a feature.

Applicant further argues that the marked-up copies of figures 1 and 2, offered as exhibits A and B, show the extreme height position of the lift and dump mechanism as being within the side opening of the truck body and concludes that since the container must be emptied through the side opening, the claim limitations are inherently met. This is unpersuasive, because, not only is it unclear where this alleged "extreme height" position of the lift and dump mechanism comes from, but even if this is accepted as the extreme height of the lift and dump arm, it is not necessarily the extreme height of the container. Applicant is not claiming any particular container. It is certainly conceivable that containers of varying size could be picked up by the arm. There is simply no indication from the originally filed disclosure that any container capable of being picked up by the lift and dump arm would not necessarily exceed the height of the truck body during a lift and dump operation.

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the physical relationship between the arm member and the vehicle body such that a container does not exceed the height of the truck body during a lift and dump operation must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). **No new matter should be entered**.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended

Application/Control Number: 09/844,843

Art Unit: 3652

replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 4

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 51, 55, 56, 65 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christenson in view of Brandt and Sizemore et al (all previously cited).

This rejection is set forth in prior Office action, mailed 4/22/05, paragraph 4, and repeated in the 9/16/05, 2/28/06, 6/22/06, and 11/20/06 Office actions. Particular

attention is directed to paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Office action mailed 6/22/06, and paragraph 5 of the Office action mailed 11/20/06.

The limitation of a side loading refuse vehicle with a body having a dropped bottom charging hopper is clearly disclosed by the base reference Christenson.

Although not explicitly shown, this reference also appears to have an arm member shaped and sized such that a container does not exceed the height of the truck body during a lift and dump operation, to whatever extent this limitation may be given patentable weight.

The newly recited limitation that the container can be emptied through a side opening of the truck body is also clearly disclosed by Christenson (e.g., opening 120, figs. 5-6).

7. Claims 52, 53, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christenson in view of Brandt and Sizemore et al, as applied to claims 51, 55, 56, 65 and 66 above, and further in view of Duell et al (previously cited).

This rejection is set forth in prior Office action, mailed 4/22/05, paragraph 5, and repeated in the 9/16/05, 2/28/06, 6/22/06, and 11/20/06 Office actions.

8. Applicant's arguments filed 2/20/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's comments concerning the reference combination are generic and conclusory in nature, and do not raise any new points of argument. Therefore, the

examiner's comments set forth in the previous Office actions are reiterated without further comment.

9. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 571-272-6925. The examiner can normally be reached on (schedule varies).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on 571-272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or \$71-272-1000.

James Keenan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

jwk 3/01/07